# József Balogh Jane Butterfield Ping Hu<br/> John $\rm Lenz^1$ Dhruv Mubayi<sup>1</sup>

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

October 16, 2011

<sup>1</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago

Jane Butterfield (UIUC)

Chromatic Thresholds

• An *r*-uniform hypergraph with vertex set V: collection of *r*-sets from [n], called edges.

- An *r*-uniform hypergraph with vertex set V: collection of *r*-sets from [n], called edges.
- Chromatic number of a hypergraph H: the least number of colors needed to color the vertices of H so that no edge is monochromatic.

- An *r*-uniform hypergraph with vertex set V: collection of *r*-sets from [n], called edges.
- Chromatic number of a hypergraph H: the least number of colors needed to color the vertices of H so that no edge is monochromatic.

- An r-uniform hypergraph with vertex set V: collection of r-sets from [n], called edges.
- Chromatic number of a hypergraph H: the least number of colors needed to color the vertices of H so that no edge is monochromatic.

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)



### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)



### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)



### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)



### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)



### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of *r*-uniform hypergraphs. The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the infimum of the values  $c \geq 0$  such that the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of hypergraphs H with minimum degree at least  $c\binom{|V(H)|}{r-1}$ has bounded chromatic number.

• Originally motivated by a question of Erdős and Simonovits in 1973:

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of *r*-uniform hypergraphs. The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the infimum of the values  $c \geq 0$  such that the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of hypergraphs H with minimum degree at least  $c\binom{|V(H)|}{r-1}$ has bounded chromatic number.

Originally motivated by a question of Erdős and Simonovits in 1973:
"If G is non-bipartite, what bound on δ(G) forces G to contain a triangle?"

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of *r*-uniform hypergraphs. The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the infimum of the values  $c \geq 0$  such that the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of hypergraphs H with minimum degree at least  $c\binom{|V(H)|}{r-1}$ has bounded chromatic number.

• Originally motivated by a question of Erdős and Simonovits in 1973:

"If G is non-bipartite, what bound on  $\delta(G)$  forces G to contain a triangle?"

• Andrásfai, Erdős, and Sós: the answer is  $\frac{2}{5}|V(G)|$ , achieved by the blowup of  $C_5$ .

### Definition (Chromatic Threshold)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of *r*-uniform hypergraphs. The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the infimum of the values  $c \geq 0$  such that the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of hypergraphs H with minimum degree at least  $c\binom{|V(H)|}{r-1}$ has bounded chromatic number.

• Originally motivated by a question of Erdős and Simonovits in 1973:

"If G is non-bipartite, what bound on  $\delta(G)$  forces G to contain a triangle?"

- Andrásfai, Erdős, and Sós: the answer is  $\frac{2}{5}|V(G)|$ , achieved by the blowup of  $C_5$ .
- For an r-uniform hypergraph F, let  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  be the family of r-uniform hypergraphs on n vertices that do not contain F. Let  $ex(n, F) = \max\{|E(G)| : G \in \mathcal{P}(n, F)\}.$

• Suppose G is a graph on n vertices. If  $\delta(G) > 2ex(n, F)/n$  then  $2|E(G)| \ge \delta(G)|V(G)| > 2ex(n, F) \Rightarrow |E(G)| > ex(n, F),$ 

and so G contains a copy of F.

• Suppose G is a graph on n vertices. If  $\delta(G) > 2ex(n, F)/n$  then  $2|E(G)| \ge \delta(G)|V(G)| > 2ex(n, F) \Rightarrow |E(G)| > ex(n, F),$ 

and so G contains a copy of F.

• Therefore if  $c = \frac{ex(n,F)}{n^2/2}$  then the Chromatic Threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n,F)$  is at most c.

• Suppose G is a graph on n vertices. If  $\delta(G) > 2ex(n, F)/n$  then  $2|E(G)| \ge \delta(G)|V(G)| > 2ex(n, F) \Rightarrow |E(G)| > ex(n, F),$ 

and so G contains a copy of F.

• Therefore if  $c = \frac{ex(n,F)}{n^2/2}$  then the Chromatic Threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n,F)$  is at most c.

Theorem (Allen, Böttcher, Griffiths, Kohayakawa, Morris(2011+))

If G is a graph with chromatic number  $r \geq 3$ , then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n,G)$  is one of

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{r-2}\right), \quad \left(1-\frac{1}{r-1.5}\right), \quad \left(1-\frac{1}{r-1}\right).$$

• Suppose G is a graph on n vertices. If  $\delta(G) > 2ex(n, F)/n$  then  $2|E(G)| \ge \delta(G)|V(G)| > 2ex(n, F) \Rightarrow |E(G)| > ex(n, F),$ 

and so G contains a copy of F.

• Therefore if  $c = \frac{ex(n,F)}{n^2/2}$  then the Chromatic Threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n,F)$  is at most c.

Theorem (Allen, Böttcher, Griffiths, Kohayakawa, Morris(2011+))

If G is a graph with chromatic number  $r \geq 3$ , then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n,G)$  is one of

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{r-2}\right), \quad \left(1-\frac{1}{r-1.5}\right), \quad \left(1-\frac{1}{r-1}\right).$$

Similar bound holds for hypergraphs, too...

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ .

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

•  $\delta(H) > c\binom{n}{r-1}$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

- $\delta(H) > c\binom{n}{r-1}$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and
- for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(H) \ge t$

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

- $\delta(H) > c\binom{n}{r-1}$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and
- for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(H) \ge t$

then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  is at least c.

Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

- $\delta(H) > c\binom{n}{r-1}$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and
- for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(H) \ge t$

then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  is at least c.



Suppose F is an r-uniform hypergraph. If ex(n, F) is known, then there is an easy upper bound for the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$ . If there is a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypergraphs from  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  such that

- $\delta(H) > c\binom{n}{r-1}$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , and
- for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $H_t \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(H) \ge t$

then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, F)$  is at least c.













• No subgraph isomorphic to  $F_5$ ,



- No subgraph isomorphic to  $F_5$ ,
- minimum degree at least  $(1-\epsilon)\frac{6}{49}\binom{|V(H)|}{2}$  for large |V(H)|,



- No subgraph isomorphic to  $F_5$ ,
- minimum degree at least  $(1-\epsilon)\frac{6}{49}\binom{|V(H)|}{2}$  for large |V(H)|,
- chromatic number at least t.

Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at least 6/49.

#### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at least 6/49.

### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at most  $(\sqrt{41}-5)/8 \approx 7/40$ .

#### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at least 6/49.

### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at most  $(\sqrt{41}-5)/8 \approx 7/40$ .

Proof of upper bound uses VC-type dimension...

#### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at least 6/49.

### Theorem

The chromatic threshold of the hypergraph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, F_5)$  is at most  $(\sqrt{41}-5)/8 \approx 7/40$ .

Proof of upper bound uses VC-type dimension... hold that thought.

### More constructions

Other constructions use a generalized Kneser graph:

#### Definition

 $\operatorname{KN}_{s}^{r}(n,k)$  is the *r*-uniform hypergraph with vertex set  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  in which *r* vertices  $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$  form an edge if and only if no element of [n] is contained in  $F_{i}$  for more than *s* distinct *i*. Note that the Kneser hypergraph  $\operatorname{KN}^{r}(n,k)$  is  $\operatorname{KN}_{1}^{r}(n,k)$ .

### More constructions

Other constructions use a generalized Kneser graph:

### Definition

 $\operatorname{KN}_{s}^{r}(n,k)$  is the *r*-uniform hypergraph with vertex set  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  in which *r* vertices  $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$  form an edge if and only if no element of [n] is contained in  $F_{i}$  for more than *s* distinct *i*. Note that the Kneser hypergraph  $\operatorname{KN}^{r}(n,k)$  is  $\operatorname{KN}_{1}^{r}(n,k)$ .

#### Theorem

Let c > 0; then for any integers r, t, there exists  $K_0 = K_0(c, r, t)$  such that if  $k \ge K_0$ , s = r - 1, and n = (r/s + c)k, then  $\chi(\operatorname{KN}_s^r(n, k)) > t$ .

Theorem (Thomassen (2007))

For  $k \geq 2$ , the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1})$  has chromatic threshold 0.

### Theorem (Thomassen (2007))

For  $k \geq 2$ , the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1})$  has chromatic threshold 0.

Luczak and Thomassé proved the following more general result, using paired VC-dimension:

### Theorem (Thomassen (2007))

For  $k \geq 2$ , the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1})$  has chromatic threshold 0.

Luczak and Thomassé proved the following more general result, using paired VC-dimension:

### Theorem (2011+)

If H is a near bipartite graph, the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, H)$  has chromatic threshold 0.

### Theorem (Thomassen (2007))

For  $k \geq 2$ , the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1})$  has chromatic threshold 0.

Luczak and Thomassé proved the following more general result, using paired VC-dimension:

### Theorem (2011+)

If H is a near bipartite graph, the graph family  $\mathcal{P}(n, H)$  has chromatic threshold 0.

A graph is near bipartite if it is triangle-free and there is a partition of its vertices into two classes,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , such that  $V_1$  is an independent set and  $H[V_2]$  is a partial matching.

#### Definition (Near r-partite)

Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph. H is near r-partite if there exists a partition  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  of V(H) such that all edges of H either cross the partition or are contained completely in  $V_1$ , and in addition  $H[V_1]$  is a partial matching.



### Definition (Near r-partite)

Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph. H is near r-partite if there exists a partition  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  of V(H) such that all edges of H either cross the partition or are contained completely in  $V_1$ , and in addition  $H[V_1]$  is a partial matching.

#### Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi)

Let H be a near r-partite hypergraph. If H does not contain any hypergraph from  $\mathcal{TK}^{r}(3)$ , then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, H)$  is zero.

### Definition (Near r-partite)

Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph. H is near r-partite if there exists a partition  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  of V(H) such that all edges of H either cross the partition or are contained completely in  $V_1$ , and in addition  $H[V_1]$  is a partial matching.

#### Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi)

Let H be a near r-partite hypergraph. If H does not contain any hypergraph from  $\mathcal{TK}^{r}(3)$ , then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, H)$  is zero.

### Definition (Near r-partite)

Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph. H is near r-partite if there exists a partition  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  of V(H) such that all edges of H either cross the partition or are contained completely in  $V_1$ , and in addition  $H[V_1]$  is a partial matching.

#### Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi)

Let H be a near r-partite hypergraph. If H does not contain any hypergraph from  $\mathcal{TK}^{r}(3)$ , then the chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, H)$  is zero.

Proof again uses VC-type dimension.

Means something like this picture (r = 3):

10 / 13

Means something like this picture (r = 3):



10 / 13

Means something like this picture (r = 3):



Means something like this picture (r = 3):



10 / 13

Let  $C_{2k+1}^r$  be the *r*-uniform cycle with 2k + 1 edges formed by arranging rk + (r - 1) vertices in a circle and arranging edges to contain *r* consecutive vertices where the overlap between edges alternates between 1 and r - 1.





Corollary (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi)

The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$  is zero.

Corollary (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) The chromatic threshold of  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$  is zero.

Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) For r = 3 and r = 4, there exists some  $n_0$  such that for  $n > n_0$ , the unique hypergraph in  $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$  with the largest number of edges is  $T_r(n)$ .

### Corollary (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) The chromatic threshold of $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$ is zero.

### Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) For r = 3 and r = 4, there exists some $n_0$ such that for $n > n_0$ , the unique hypergraph in $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$ with the largest number of edges is $T_r(n)$ . $T_r(n)$ is the complete r-partite, r-uniform, n-vertex hypergraph with part sizes as equal as possible.

### Corollary (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) The chromatic threshold of $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$ is zero.

### Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield, Hu, Lenz, Mubayi) For r = 3 and r = 4, there exists some $n_0$ such that for $n > n_0$ , the unique hypergraph in $\mathcal{P}(n, C_{2k+1}^r)$ with the largest number of edges is $T_r(n)$ . $T_r(n)$ is the complete r-partite, r-uniform, n-vertex hypergraph with part sizes as equal as possible.

The theorem is extended to a family of critical graphs.

# Thank you