Online Ramsey Games for Triangles

Jane Butterfield

University of Minnesota - Twin Cities and József Balogh, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

September 21st, 2012



James Joseph Sylvester

Jane Butterfield (UMN)



James Joseph Sylvester





James Joseph Sylvester

• A graph G is a set of vertices V(G)and a collection of edges E(G), where each edge contains two vertices.



James Joseph Sylvester

• A graph G is a set of vertices V(G)and a collection of edges E(G), where each edge contains two vertices.

• Let
$$v_G = |V(G)|$$
 and $e_G = |E(G)|$.



James Joseph Sylvester

- A graph G is a set of vertices V(G)and a collection of edges E(G), where each edge contains two vertices.
- Let $v_G = |V(G)|$ and $e_G = |E(G)|$.
- The degree, d(v), of a vertex is the number of edges containing it.



James Joseph Sylvester

- A graph G is a set of vertices V(G)and a collection of edges E(G), where each edge contains two vertices.
- Let $v_G = |V(G)|$ and $e_G = |E(G)|$.
- The degree, d(v), of a vertex is the number of edges containing it.
- The min degree of G, $\delta(G)$, is $\min\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\}$



James Joseph Sylvester

- A graph G is a set of vertices V(G)and a collection of edges E(G), where each edge contains two vertices.
- Let $v_G = |V(G)|$ and $e_G = |E(G)|$.
- The degree, d(v), of a vertex is the number of edges containing it.
- The min degree of G, $\delta(G)$, is min $\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\}$
- The max degree of G, $\Delta(G)$, is $\max\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\}.$

Some important graphs



Some important graphs



• The complete graph, K_{ℓ} , has ℓ vertices, and every two vertices form an edge.

Some important graphs



- The complete graph, K_{ℓ} , has ℓ vertices, and every two vertices form an edge.
- The cycle, C_{ℓ} , has vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{ℓ} , and $v_i v_j$ is an edge iff $|i j| = 1 \pmod{\ell}$.



Frank Plumpton Ramsey



Frank Plumpton Ramsey

"How big does something have to be for some particular structure to show up inside it?"



Frank Plumpton Ramsey

"How big does something have to be for some particular structure to show up inside it?"

• How large can n be before any 2-coloring of [n] contains a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length ℓ ?



Frank Plumpton Ramsey

"How big does something have to be for some particular structure to show up inside it?"

- How large can n be before any 2-coloring of [n] contains a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length ℓ ?
- How many vertices can K_n have before any 2-coloring of its edges induces a monochromatic copy of K_ℓ ?

Jane Butterfield (UMN)

Triangle Games

Jane Butterfield (UMN)






































































Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.





Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.





Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.





Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.







Off-line Ramsey game One player (Builder) chooses n; the second player (Painter) must color the edges of K_n with two colors, trying to avoid creating a monochromatic triangle.



On-line one-player Ramsey game Edges of K_n appear one-at-a-time in random order. Painter must color edges as they appear, trying to avoid a monochromatic triangle.



On-line two-player Ramsey game Builder presents edges one-at-a-time, and Painter must color each as it appears. The underlying graph must be in some family \mathcal{F} .



Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?



On-line one-player Ramsey game



On-line two-player Ramsey game



Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?



On-line one-player Ramsey game How many edges can Painter color before she creates a monochromatic triangle?



On-line two-player Ramsey game



Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?



On-line one-player Ramsey game How many edges can Painter color before she creates a monochromatic triangle?



Classical Ramsey Theory:

 $2^{\ell/2} < R_2(K_\ell) < 2^{2\ell}.$

Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?

On-line one-player Ramsey game How many edges can Painter color before she creates a monochromatic triangle?

Classical Ramsey Theory:

 $2^{\ell/2} < R_2(K_\ell) < 2^{2\ell}.$

Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?

Asked by Marciniszyn, Spöhel and Steger (2009). **On-line one-player Ramsey game** How many edges can Painter color before she creates a monochromatic triangle?

Classical Ramsey Theory:

 $2^{\ell/2} < R_2(K_\ell) < 2^{2\ell}.$

Off-line Ramsey game How large can n be before Painter cannot avoid creating a monochromatic K_{ℓ} ?

Asked by Marciniszyn, Spöhel and Steger (2009). **On-line one-player Ramsey game** How many edges can Painter color before she creates a monochromatic triangle?

Example family: $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta} = \{G : \Delta(G) \leq \Delta\}.$

The one-player game

Definition (Threshold)

We will call $N_0(F, r, n)$ a *threshold* for the *r*-color on-line one-player *F*-avoidance game if there are positive numbers *c* and *C* such that when $N < cN_0(F, r, n)$ there exists a strategy such that Painter almost surely wins the game played with *N* edges by following the strategy, and when $N > CN_0(F, r, n)$ Painter almost surely loses the game played with *N* edges.

The one-player game

Definition (Threshold)

We will call $N_0(F, r, n)$ a *threshold* for the *r*-color on-line one-player *F*-avoidance game if there are positive numbers *c* and *C* such that when $N < cN_0(F, r, n)$ there exists a strategy such that Painter almost surely wins the game played with *N* edges by following the strategy, and when $N > CN_0(F, r, n)$ Painter almost surely loses the game played with *N* edges.

The one-player game

Definition (Threshold)

We will call $N_0(F, r, n)$ a *threshold* for the *r*-color on-line one-player *F*-avoidance game if there are positive numbers *c* and *C* such that when $N < cN_0(F, r, n)$ there exists a strategy such that Painter almost surely wins the game played with *N* edges by following the strategy, and when $N > CN_0(F, r, n)$ Painter almost surely loses the game played with *N* edges.

Theorem (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger (2009))

For every graph F and integer r > 0 the threshold $N_0(F, r, n)$ exists.

• The greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and always uses the least-indexed color that will not close a monochromatic copy of F.

- The greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and always uses the least-indexed color that will not close a monochromatic copy of F.
 - ▶ For $F = K_3$ and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is optimal.

- The greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and always uses the least-indexed color that will not close a monochromatic copy of F.
 - ▶ For $F = K_3$ and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is optimal.
 - For F = and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is not optimal.

- The greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and always uses the least-indexed color that will not close a monochromatic copy of F.
 - ▶ For $F = K_3$ and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is optimal.
 - For F = and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is not optimal.
- The smart greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and for each color chooses some graph F_i . Painter always uses the least indexed color that will not close a c_i -monochromatic copy of F_i .

- The greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and always uses the least-indexed color that will not close a monochromatic copy of F.
 - ▶ For $F = K_3$ and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is optimal.
 - For $F = \bigcup$ and r = 2, Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved this strategy is not optimal.
- The smart greedy strategy: Painter orders the colors, and for each color chooses some graph F_i . Painter always uses the least indexed color that will not close a c_i -monochromatic copy of F_i .
 - For F = 1 and r = 2, let F_1 be a triangle and let $F_2 = F$. Gives a better bound than greedy.

If F is a graph, $m_2(F) = \max_{H \subseteq F} \frac{e_H - 1}{v_H - 2}$.

If F is a graph, $m_2(F) = \max_{H \subseteq F} \frac{e_H - 1}{v_H - 2}$.

Theorem (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger (2009)) For every graph F and integer r > 0 the threshold $N_0(F, r, n)$ satisfies

$$n^{2-1/\overline{m}_2^r(F)} \le N_0(F, r, n) \le n^{2-1/m_2(F)},$$

where

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} 2 - 1/\overline{m}_2^r(F) = 2 - 1/m_2(F).$$

If F is a graph, $m_2(F) = \max_{H \subseteq F} \frac{e_H - 1}{v_H - 2}$.

Theorem (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger (2009))

For every graph F and integer r > 0 the threshold $N_0(F, r, n)$ satisfies

$$n^{2-1/\overline{m}_2^r(F)} \le N_0(F, r, n) \le n^{2-1/m_2(F)},$$

where

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} 2 - 1/\overline{m}_2^r(F) = 2 - 1/m_2(F).$$

Upper bound is from off-line one-player Ramsey game, Rödle-Ruciński (1993).

If F is a graph, $m_2(F) = \max_{H \subseteq F} \frac{e_H - 1}{v_H - 2}$.

Theorem (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger (2009))

For every graph F and integer r > 0 the threshold $N_0(F, r, n)$ satisfies

$$n^{2-1/\overline{m}_2^r(F)} \le N_0(F, r, n) \le n^{2-1/m_2(F)},$$

where

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} 2 - 1/\overline{m}_2^r(F) = 2 - 1/m_2(F).$$

Upper bound is from off-line one-player Ramsey game, Rödle-Ruciński (1993).

The parameter in the lower bound is some sort of density function, defined recursively.

$F = K_k$ or C_k

Conjecture (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger 2009)

For cliques and cycles, the known lower bound is sharp. In particular, for all $r \ge 1$ and $k \ge 2$,

$$N_0(K_k, r, n) = n^{\left(2 - \frac{2}{k+1}\right)\left(1 - \binom{k}{2}^{-r}\right)}$$

and

$$N_0(C_k, r, n) = n^{\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\left(1-k^{-r}\right)}.$$

$F = K_k$ or C_k

Conjecture (Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger 2009)

For cliques and cycles, the known lower bound is sharp. In particular, for all $r \ge 1$ and $k \ge 2$,

$$N_0(K_k, r, n) = n^{\left(2 - \frac{2}{k+1}\right)\left(1 - {\binom{k}{2}}^{-r}\right)}$$

and

$$N_0(C_k, r, n) = n^{\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\left(1-k^{-r}\right)}.$$

Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger proved true for r = 2, remains open for $r \ge 3$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_d = \{G : \frac{e_H}{v_H} \le d \,\forall H \subseteq G\}.$

Let $\mathcal{H}_d = \{G : \frac{e_H}{v_H} \leq d \forall H \subseteq G\}$. Let (F, \mathcal{H}_d) be the two-player game in which Builder must keep the underlying graph in \mathcal{H}_d and Painter wants to avoid F.

10 / 20

Let $\mathcal{H}_d = \{G : \frac{e_H}{v_H} \leq d \forall H \subseteq G\}$. Let (F, \mathcal{H}_d) be the two-player game in which Builder must keep the underlying graph in \mathcal{H}_d and Painter wants to avoid F.

Theorem (Belfrage, Mütze, Spöhel (2010+))

Fix any integer $r \geq 2$ and any real number d > 0. If Builder has a winning strategy in the on-line r-color Ramsey game (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , then the threshold for the r-color on-line one-player Ramsey game satisfies

 $N_0(F, r, n) \le n^{2-1/d}.$

Theorem (Belfrage, Mütze, Spöhel (2010+))

Fix any integer $r \geq 2$ and any real number d > 0. If Builder has a winning strategy in the on-line r-color Ramsey game (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , then the threshold for the r-color on-line one-player Ramsey game satisfies

 $N_0(F, r, n) \le n^{2-1/d}.$

Proof idea:

10 / 20

Theorem (Belfrage, Mütze, Spöhel (2010+))

Fix any integer $r \geq 2$ and any real number d > 0. If Builder has a winning strategy in the on-line r-color Ramsey game (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , then the threshold for the r-color on-line one-player Ramsey game satisfies

$$N_0(F,r,n) \le n^{2-1/d}.$$

Proof idea:

• If $e_G/v_G \leq d$, then almost surely a random graph with $N = \omega(n^{2-1/d})$ edges contains a copy of G.

10 / 20
How to avoid probability

Theorem (Belfrage, Mütze, Spöhel (2010+))

Fix any integer $r \geq 2$ and any real number d > 0. If Builder has a winning strategy in the on-line r-color Ramsey game (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , then the threshold for the r-color on-line one-player Ramsey game satisfies

$$N_0(F,r,n) \le n^{2-1/d}.$$

Proof idea:

- If $e_G/v_G \leq d$, then almost surely a random graph with $N = \omega(n^{2-1/d})$ edges contains a copy of G.
- In fact, given a Builder strategy in (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , the random graph almost surely reproduces his strategy in the first N steps!

How to avoid probability

Theorem (Belfrage, Mütze, Spöhel (2010+))

Fix any integer $r \geq 2$ and any real number d > 0. If Builder has a winning strategy in the on-line r-color Ramsey game (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , then the threshold for the r-color on-line one-player Ramsey game satisfies

$$N_0(F,r,n) \le n^{2-1/d}.$$

Proof idea:

- If $e_G/v_G \leq d$, then almost surely a random graph with $N = \omega(n^{2-1/d})$ edges contains a copy of G.
- In fact, given a Builder strategy in (F, \mathcal{H}_d) , the random graph almost surely reproduces his strategy in the first N steps!
- If there is a winning Builder strategy, therefore, Painter will almost surely lose after N steps in the one-player random game.

Range for triangles was

11 / 20

Range for triangles was

$$N_0(K_3, 2, n) = n^{\frac{4}{3}},$$
(1)
$$n^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^r}\right)} \le N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(2)

11 / 20

Range for triangles was

$$N_0(K_3, 2, n) = n^{\frac{4}{3}},$$
(1)
$$n^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^r}\right)} \le N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(2)

Upper bound in (2) is from the off-line game. Lower bound is Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger's theorem.

Range for triangles was

$$N_0(K_3, 2, n) = n^{\frac{4}{3}},$$
(1)
$$n^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^r}\right)} \le N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(2)

Upper bound in (2) is from the off-line game. Lower bound is Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger's theorem. For r = 3:

$$n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{18}} \le N_0(K_3,3,n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Range for triangles was

$$N_0(K_3, 2, n) = n^{\frac{4}{3}},$$
(1)
$$n^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^r}\right)} \le N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$
(2)

Upper bound in (2) is from the off-line game. Lower bound is Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger's theorem. For r = 3:

$$n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{18}} \le N_0(K_3,3,n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Appreciable gap.

First separation for $r \geq 3$ from the off-line bound:

First separation for $r \geq 3$ from the off-line bound:

Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield (2010))

For every $r \geq 3$, there exists $c_r > 0$ such that

$$N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}-c_r}.$$

First separation for $r \geq 3$ from the off-line bound:

Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield (2010))

For every $r \geq 3$, there exists $c_r > 0$ such that

 $N_0(K_3, r, n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}-c_r}.$

Theorem (Balogh, Butterfield (2010))

$$n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{18}} \le N_0(K_3,3,n) \le n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{42}}.$$

• If Painter makes a monochromatic triangle, we win.

- If Painter makes a monochromatic triangle, we win.
- If Painter makes the following two-colored graph, we win.

- If Painter makes a monochromatic triangle, we win.
- If Painter makes the following two-colored graph, we win.



















Vertices: 22 Edges: 42 (once final triangle is placed)

14 / 20



• Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?

- Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- For which d does **Painter** have a winning strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?

- Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- For which d does **Painter** have a winning strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- Does Painter's strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) translate to a lower bound on $N_0(F, r, n)$?

- Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- For which d does **Painter** have a winning strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- Does Painter's strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) translate to a lower bound on $N_0(F, r, n)$?

UMN undergraduate research question.

- Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- For which d does **Painter** have a winning strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- Does Painter's strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) translate to a lower bound on $N_0(F, r, n)$?

UMN undergraduate research question.

An answer could prove Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture...

- Is this the least d such that Builder can win (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- For which d does **Painter** have a winning strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) ?
- Does Painter's strategy in (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) translate to a lower bound on $N_0(F, r, n)$?

UMN undergraduate research question.

An answer could prove Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture... ...or cast doubt on the conjecture or the method.

• Completely different strategy than for r = 3.

- Completely different strategy than for r = 3.
- Defined recursively:

- Completely different strategy than for r = 3.
- Defined recursively:
 - Let S_r be Builder's strategy to force K_3 in r-color game.

- Completely different strategy than for r = 3.
- Defined recursively:
 - Let S_r be Builder's strategy to force K_3 in r-color game.
 - Let n_r be the number of vertices strategy S_r needs.

- Completely different strategy than for r = 3.
- Defined recursively:
 - Let S_r be Builder's strategy to force K_3 in r-color game.
 - Let n_r be the number of vertices strategy S_r needs.
 - Strategy S_1 is obvious, $n_1 = 3$.

- Completely different strategy than for r = 3.
- Defined recursively:
 - Let S_r be Builder's strategy to force K_3 in r-color game.
 - Let n_r be the number of vertices strategy S_r needs.
 - Strategy S_1 is obvious, $n_1 = 3$.
- Graph Ramsey Theory: for any n_r , there exists m_r large enough that any *r*-coloring of the edges of K_{m_r} results in a monochromatic copy of K_{n_r} .

16 / 20












If there are n_{r-1} vertices in Y'_1 , Builder can use Strategy S_{r-1} ; fixing $X_2, Y_2 \subseteq Y'_1$. Density: every subgraph has average out-degree strictly less than 2.

• This algorithm produces a poor Builder strategy for three colors. What happens if we seed it with the better three-color strategy?

- This algorithm produces a poor Builder strategy for three colors. What happens if we seed it with the better three-color strategy?
- Is there a better way to produce an r + 1 strategy from an r strategy?

- This algorithm produces a poor Builder strategy for three colors. What happens if we seed it with the better three-color strategy?
- Is there a better way to produce an r + 1 strategy from an r strategy?
- Is there a similar recursive strategy to avoid K_{ℓ} ?

- This algorithm produces a poor Builder strategy for three colors. What happens if we seed it with the better three-color strategy?
- Is there a better way to produce an r + 1 strategy from an r strategy?
- Is there a similar recursive strategy to avoid K_{ℓ} ?

UMN undergraduate research question.

Some simple questions:

• What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?

Some simple questions:

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?

Some simple questions:

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?

Some simple questions:

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?
 - If F is a tree, yes.

Some deceptively simple questions:

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?
 - If F is a tree, yes.
 - If F is C_{ℓ} and r = 2, yes. What about C_{ℓ} and r > 2?

19 / 20

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?
 - If F is a tree, yes.
 - If F is C_{ℓ} and r = 2, yes. What about C_{ℓ} and r > 2?
 - We know $N_0(K_k, 2, n)$; is there a Builder strategy to exhibit it?

- What is the least d for which Builder can win the 3-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Any Painter strategy for d < 42/22?
- What is a "good" d for which Builder can win the r-color (K_3, \mathcal{H}_d) game?
 - Improve the recursive algorithm.
 - Find a better algorithm for r = 4.
- Is the Marciniszyn-Spöhel-Steger conjecture correct?
 - Find a Builder strategy for $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$.
- Is the Belfrage-Mütze-Spöhel method "tight"?
 - If F is a tree, yes.
 - If F is C_{ℓ} and r = 2, yes. What about C_{ℓ} and r > 2?
 - We know $N_0(K_k, 2, n)$; is there a Builder strategy to exhibit it?
 - Prove that Builder cannot win $(K_3, \mathcal{H}_{18/10})$

Thank you! butter@umn.edu